OESTERREICHISCHE NATIONALBANK EUROSYSTEM

NPLs in CESEE: Snapshot of their definitions and recent development

81st East Jour Fixe of the OeNB Nonperforming loans in CESEE: macroeconomic dimension and resolution strategies 18 Sept 2017

> Stephan Barisitz Oesterreichische Nationalbank Foreign Research Division

Introduction

- Despite some easing most recently (from 2014-15), NPLs in most Central and Eastern European countries remain at relatively high level
- But: data on NPLs have often been of limited use because difficult to compare across countries
- This presentation: attempt to shed light on NPL comparability in CESEE countries
- = summary and update of three studies on NPL definitions in Europe, recently published in OeNB FEEI

Elements of CESEE NPL definitions and their approximation

- Basic quantitative NPL criterion recommended by IMF:
 - "principal or interest 90 days or more overdue" (90 days+)
- Focusing on European countries, additional qualitative NPL yardstick:
 - "existence of well-defined weakness of loan or borrower" (welldefined weakness)
- Third possible feature, typical to CESEE countries or emerging markets:
 - credit quality categories "substandard-doubtful-loss"
- in recent years: welcome tendency toward approximation of NPL definitions

going in the direction of \rightarrow

EBA Standards as benchmark for NPL definitions

- under Article 99 of EU Capital Requirements Regulation
- "primary elements" of NPLs:
- "Nonperforming exposures".... are those that satisfy either or both of the following criteria:
 - a. Exposures are more than **90 days past due**
 - b. The debtor is assessed as **unlikely to pay his/her credit obligations** in full without realization of collateral, regardless of the existence of any past-due amount or of the number of days past due"
- This definition is consistent with
 - BCBS' definition of default and with the
 - IIF's credit quality classification

EBA Standards as benchmark for NPL definitions (cont.)

- "Secondary elements" (non-defining, but have impact on the content of NPLs):
 - a. Classification of **restructured loans (benefiting from forbearance) as NPLs** for at least one year
 - b. NPLs categorized **irrespective of any collateral** or guarantee
 - c. NPLs categorized for their full outstanding amount
 - **d.** Multiple loans to a single borrower subject to qualified (20%) downgrade requirement
 - ⇔ NPL customer view versus NPL product view

A number of CESEE countries have either adopted or moved near EBA standards for their NPL definitions

Validity of "primary elements" of NPL definition

Central and Eastern Europe		BGR	HRV	CZE	HUN	POL	ROM	RUS	SRB	SVK	UKR	EBA (bench mark)
Primary elements of NPLs	90 days+	✓	√	~	✓	✓	~	√ ×	✓	✓	✓	~
	Well- defined weakness	~	 ✓ 	~	~	~	~	~	~	✓	~	~
Symbolic scoring		4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4

Indications of assessment of country-specific regulations (as of mid-2017) against benchmark:

corresponds to benchmark



X

 \checkmark

slightly weaker than benchmark



€NB

Treatment of "secondary elements" (vis-à-vis EBA benchmark)

Central and Eastern Europe		BGR	HRV	CZE	HUN	POL	ROM	RUS	SRB	SVK	UKR	EBA (bench mark)
Secon- dary elements of NPL compara -bility	Treatment of restructured loans	✓	√ ×	√ x	×	×	 ✓ 	×	√ x	√ x	✓	✓
	Collateral consideration	\checkmark	√ ×	×	×	×	\checkmark	\checkmark	√ ×	\checkmark	√ ×	\checkmark
	Share of Ioan recorded NP	✓	×	\checkmark	×	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
	Multiple Ioans	\checkmark	×	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Symbolic scoring secondary elements		4	1	2½	1	2	4	3	3	3½	3½	4

Indications of assessment of country-specific regulations against benchmark:

 \checkmark

corresponds to or is even stricter than benchmark



slightly weaker than benchmark



weaker than benchmark

Tentative assessment of definitional comparability

Central and Eastern Europe	BGR	HRV	CZE	HUN	POL	ROM	RUS	SRB	SVK	UKR	EBA (bench mark)
Symbolic scoring sum (NPL definitional comparability)	8	5	6.5	5	6	8	6	7	7.5	7.5	8
➔ Taking EBA standards as a measurement yardstick, official NPL data appear:											
• (largely) comparable	\checkmark					\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
• (somewhat) downward biased		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark				

- In recent years, degree of **convergence/ movement** toward EBA/ international NPL standards:
- SVK (2015), BGR (2015), ROM (2015), SRB (2016), UKR (2017)
- and none of the other above observed countries has moved away from these standards

Empirical snapshot of recent NPL developments in CESEE (2012-17)



Croatia: credit quality Outstanding credit volume in % of GDP NPL ratio (NPLs in % of total gross loans) 90 45.0 80 40.0 70 35.0 60 30.0 50 25.0 40 20.0 30 15,0 20 10.0 10 5.0 0 0.0 end-Mar 16 end-Jun 16 end-Sep 16 end-2016 end-2012 end-2013 end-2014 end-2015 Non-performing Standard

Source: NCB, IMF Financial Soundness Indicators, Eurostat.



Source: NCB, IMF Financial Soundness Indicators, Eurostat



Source: NCB, IMF Financial Soundness Indicators, Eurostat.

€N

http://ceec.oenb.at

Empirical snapshot of recent NPL developments in CESEE (2012-17) (cont.)



 Outstanding credit volume in % of GDP
 NPL ratio (NPLs in % of total gross loans)

 0
 90

 0
 80

 0
 70

 0
 60

 0
 60

 0
 50

 0
 40

 0
 30

 0
 20

 0
 10

 0
 90

 0
 10

 0
 90

 0
 10

 0
 90

 0
 90

 0
 90

 0
 90

 0
 90

 0
 90

 0
 90

 0
 90

 0
 90

 0
 90

 0
 90

 0
 90

 0
 90

 0
 90

 0
 90

 0
 90

 0
 90

 0
 90

 0
 90

 0
 90

 0
 90

Source: NCB, IMF Financial Soundness Indicators, Eurostat.



Source: NCB, IMF Financial Soundness Indicators.

Russia: credit quality

Source: NCB, IMF Financial Soundness Indicators, Eurostat.

Standard



Non-performing

Romania: credit quality



—NPL ratio (NPLs/Total gross loans, right-hand scale)

Source: NCB, IMF Financial Soundness Indicators, Eurostat.

http://ceec.oenb.at

45,0

40.0

35,0

30.0

25,0

20.0

15.0

10,0

5,0

0,0

ØNB

Empirical snapshot of recent NPL developments in CESEE (2012-17) (cont.)



Source: NCB, IMF Financial Soundness Indicators, Eurostat.



- \rightarrow Possible areas of further research:
 - Attempt to quantify secondary elements
 - Comparative look at forbearance norms and practices
 - Detailed observation of exit conditions for NPLs

Summing up,

- At least in CESEE (as well as euro area), our national NPL definitions are slowly converging, which
- increases comparative utility of NPL data for banks and policy makers, which in turn,
- may contribute to facilitating attempts to tackle problems linked to stubbornly high or persistent NPLs
- In this sense....

ØNB

Many thanks for your attention!